# **DECISION AND ORDER**

## OF THE

# **BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY**

# **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**

hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Board	of Psychology, Department of Consumer
Affairs. An effective date of June 11th	, 2005 has been assigned to this
Decision and Order.	
Made this 12th day of May	, 2005.
	^

Jacqueline Horn, Ph.D.
President, Board of Psychology

Department of Consumer Affairs

Schuster

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California CINDY M. LOPEZ, State Bar No. 119988		
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
5	Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:		
10		Case No. W266	
11	ALLAN LEWIS ZUCKERMAN, PH.D. 2100 Sawtelle Boulevard, #205	OAH No. L2004010654	
12	Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tustin, CA 92780-3397	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
13	Psychologist License No. PSY 18215		
14	Respondent.		
15			
16	THE LO THED EDIT CHAPTER A MEDICAL AND A SECOND AS A S		
17	•	AGREED by and between the parties in this	
18	proceeding that the following matters are true:		
19	PARTIE	<u>S</u>	
20	1. Thomas S. O'Connor (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board		
21	of Psychology. He brought this action solely in his	official capacity and is represented in this	
22	matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the Stat	e of California, by Cindy M. Lopez, Deputy	
23	Attorney General.		
24	2. Allan Lewis Zuckerman, Ph.I	D. (Respondent) is represented in this	
25	proceeding by attorney Michael Goldfeder, whose ac	ddress is 2100 Sawtell Blvd., Suite 205, West	
26	Los Angeles, California 90025.		
27	3. On or about April 23, 2002, the	ne Board of Psychology issued Psychologist	
28	License No. PSY 18215 to Allan Lewis Zuckerman,	Ph.D The License was in full force and	

effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. W266 and will expire on August 31, 2005, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. W266 was filed before the Board of Psychology (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent in November of 2004. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. W266 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. W266. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

## **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. W266, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Psychologist License.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could

establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Psychologist License without further process.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Psychology may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the (Board) may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Psychologist License No. PSY 18215, issued to Respondent Allan Lewis Zuckerman, Ph.D. is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Psychology.

14. The surrender of Respondent's Psychologist License and the acceptance of

the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

- 15. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Psychologist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both his License and pocket license certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 17. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. W266 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition. Respondent will be allowed to petition for reinstatement one year from the effective date of this decision.
- 18. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$2,000.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Michael Goldfeder. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Psychologist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Psychology.

DATED: \_// 9/05

ALLAN LEWIS ZUCKERMAN, PH.D.

Respondent

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Allan Lewis Zuckerman, Ph.D. the term
2	and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I
3	approve its form and content.
4	DATED: 1-9-2005
5	
6	Mil A. Stell
7	MICHAEL GOLDFEDER Attorney for Respondent
8	
9	
10	
11	ENDORSEMENT
12	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully
13	submitted for consideration by the Board of Psychology of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
14	submitted for consideration by the board of 1 sychology of the bepartment of Consumer Affairs.
15	DATED: 2.15 05
16	
17	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
18	of the State of California
19	
20	CINDY M. LOPEZ
21	Deputy Attorney General
22	Attorneys for Complainant
23	
24	
l	
25	
26	

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California NANCY ANN STONER, State Bar No. 72839		
3	Deputy Attorney General, for CINDY M. LOPEZ		
	Deputy Attorney General		
4	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7373		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
. 9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
ļ	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  Case No. W266		
12	ALLAN LEWIS ZUCKERMAN, PH.D.		
13	2100 Sawtelle Boulevard, #205 Los Angeles, CA 90025  A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Psychologist License No. PSY 18215		
15			
16	Respondent.		
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19	1. Thomas S. O'Connor (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his		
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer		
21	Affairs.		
22	2. On or about April 23, 2002, the Board of Psychology issued Psychologist		
23	License No. PSY 18215 to Allan Lewis Zuckerman, Ph.D. (Respondent). The Psychologist		
24	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
25	expire on August 31, 2005, unless renewed.		
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Psychology (Board),		
28	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section		

references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2960 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

"(i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter.

- "(j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession.
- "(k) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or regulations duly adopted thereunder.

"(n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent act.

- (o) Any act of sexual abuse, or sexual relations with a patient or former patient within two years following termination of therapy, or sexual misconduct that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant or registered psychologist.
- "(p) Functioning outside of his or her particular field or fields of competence as established by his or her education, training, and experience.
  - "(r) Repeated acts of negligence."
  - 5. Section 2960.1 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding Section 2960, any proposed decision or decision issued under this chapter in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, that contains any finding of fact that the licensee or registrant engaged in any act of sexual contact, as defined in Section 728, when that act is with a patient, or with a former patient within two years following termination of therapy, shall contain an order of revocation. The revocation shall not be stayed by the

administrative law judge."

#### 6. Section 726 of the Code states:

"The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division, under any initiative act referred to in this division and under Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 9000) of Division 3.

"This section shall not apply to sexual contact between a physician and surgeon and his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship when that physician and surgeon provides medical treatment, other than psychotherapeutic treatment, to his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship."

# Title 16, California Code of Regulations:

- 7. Section 1396 of the California Code of Regulations (Regulations) states:
- "A psychologist shall not function outside his or her particular field or fields of competence as established by his or her education, training and experience."
  - 8. Section 1396.1 of the Regulations states:

"It is recognized that a psychologist's effectiveness depends upon his or her ability to maintain sound interpersonal relations, and that temporary or more enduring problems in a psychologist's own personality may interfere with this ability and distort his or her appraisals of others. A psychologist shall not knowingly undertake any activity in which temporary or more enduring personal problems in the psychologist's personality integration may result in inferior professional services or harm to a patient or client. If a psychologist is already engaged in such activity when becoming aware of such personal problems, he or she shall seek competent professional assistance to determine whether services to the patient or client should be continued or terminated."

# 9. Section 1396.2 of the Regulations states:

"A psychologist shall not misrepresent nor permit the misrepresentation of his or her professional qualifications, affiliations, or purposes, or those of the institutions, organizations, products and/or services with which he or she is associated."

10. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

11. Section 2964.6 of the Code states:

An administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sexual Misconduct)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960, subdivision (o), 2960.1, and section 726 of the Code in that Respondent committed acts of sexual abuse and misconduct with two patients at a board and care facility. The circumstances are as follows:
- 13. In and around 2002, Respondent had been providing group therapy every two weeks, on Thursdays, at Lydia's Guest Home, a licensed Adult Residential Facility for mentally disabled adults.
- 14. On or about August 29, 2002, one of the patients at the facility, Client #1,¹ created a disturbance which resulted in the police and paramedics visit to the facility. After the police left, Respondent told Client #1 to step into the T.V. room so he could examine her cuts or bruises before she was transported to a psychiatric hospital. He told Client #1 to raise her blouse, which she did. Respondent pointed his finger towards her exposed breasts, moved his finger around in a circular manner, and touched her breasts. He then told Client #1 to pull down her

<sup>1.</sup> The patients mentioned in this pleading will be designated as Client # 1 and Client # 2 in order to protect their privacy and confidentiality, and to be consistent with the designations used in the proceedings against Respondent before the Department of Social Services. Respondent will be provided with identifying information if discovery is requested.

18. In a letter dated October 22, 2002, the Medical Director of the Los

pants and spread her legs, which she did. Respondent moved his finger across Client #1's exposed genital area and touched her. Two employees of the facility were walking past the T.V. room; they saw and heard what Respondent did. The employees reported the incident to the facility's owner, Ms. R., who also reported the matter to the Department of Social Services.

- second resident, Client #2, that Respondent also had examined her. Respondent told Client #2 to go into the T.V. room so he could examine her for needle marks. Respondent told Client #2 to lift up her blouse and bra, which she did. Respondent pointed his finger towards her exposed breasts, and moved his finger back and forth laterally in a scanning motion a few inches from her breasts. Respondent then told Client #2 to pull down her pants, which she did. Respondent was kneeling in front of her and made the same scanning motion with his finger a few inches from her exposed genital area. Respondent said he did not find anything, told Client #2 to pull up her pants, and he left the room.
- R., called Respondent and asked him what he had done with Client #1. Respondent denied touching Client #1 and claimed he was only checking her for bruises. Respondent was silent when Ms. R. informed him there had been witnesses. Thereafter, Respondent attempted to call back Ms. R. several times and left voice messages on her office line, cell phone, and home number. Among other statements, Respondent apologized for his behavior, felt "really bad about yesterday," asked for forgiveness, said he was under stress and would like to correct this, and said he is trying to get help so this never happens again.
- 17. On September 25, 2002, the Department of Social Services issued and served Respondent with an "Order to Individual for Immediate Exclusion from All Facilities" (Exclusion Order) as a result of an investigation which substantiated a complaint of "Personal Rights Violation and Dependent Adult Abuse." The Department ordered Respondent to immediately "remove yourself from any contact with clients and not be physically present in any facility."

Angeles County Department of Mental Health's [LADMH] Bureau of Standards, Practices and Conduct, sent a letter informing Respondent that due to the exclusionary order sent to Respondent on September 25, 2002, from the Department of Social Services, he has been prohibited from contact with clients in any State licensed residential facility. Accordingly, the LADMH was suspending the Medi-Cal provider number that had just been issued to Respondent in September 2002. Respondent was also required to "promptly submit a list of Medi-Cal beneficiaries in treatment with you."

- 19. Respondent never submitted a list of beneficiaries in treatment with him, never informed other facilities of the Exclusion Order or the nature of the allegations, and continued to work at at least seven (7) licensed facilities until April, 2003.
- 20. The following acts and omissions in Respondent's care and treatment of Client #1 and Client #2, taken singularly or collectively, constituted sexual misconduct and abuse:
  - a. Respondent physically examined the breasts and genital areas of two patients, and touched one of the patients;
  - b. Respondent ordered two patients to lift up their blouses and bras, and to pull down their pants in order to expose intimate parts of their bodies to Respondent;
  - c. Respondent falsely indicated he was authorized to examine the patients for bruises, needle marks, and other reasons;
  - d. Respondent acted outside the scope of the practice of psychology, and was attempting to engage in the practice of medicine, when he requested and conducted a physical examination of these patients;
  - e. Respondent had the patients expose themselves while they were standing in an open room that could be viewed by others.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Gross Negligence)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960, subdivision (j) of the Code in that Respondent was grossly negligent in his practice of

psychology. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. The facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs 12 through 20 are incorporated here;
- b. In addition to the acts of sexual abuse and misconduct set forth above,
  Respondent failed to read and comply with the Exclusion Order issued by the Department
  of Social Services on September 25, 2002, and specifically referenced in the letter dated
  October 22, 2002, from the Medical Director at LACDMH;
- c. Respondent continued to work as a psychologist at care facilities for at least six months after receiving the Exclusion Order; he failed to inform the facilities of the Order or the nature of the charges;
- d. Respondent failed to comply with the requirement set forth in the letter from the Medical Director at LACDMH, and failed to submit a list of Medi-Cal beneficiaries in treatment with him at the facilities where he continued to work after the Exclusion Order was issued;
- e. Respondent claimed he was concerned about the food service and medication provided at Lydia's Guest Home, but he never made a report of any alleged incidents to the Department of Social Services or any other reporting agency.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest and Corrupt Acts)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960, subdivision (n) of the Code in that he committed dishonest and corrupt acts in the practice of psychology. The facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs 12 through 21 are incorporated here.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Laws and Restrictions on the Practice of Psychology)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960, subdivisions (i), (k), and (p) of the Code, in conjunction with sections 1396, 1396.1, and 1396.2 of the Regulations, in that he acted outside the scope of psychology, misrepresented directly or

	· ·
1	indirectly, his authority to examine the two patients, continued to practice as a psychologist at
2	various facilities after being ordered not to do so, and continued to practice when he was under
3	stress and acknowledged he needed help to control his behavior. The facts and allegations set
4	forth in paragraphs 12 through 22 are incorporated here.
5	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Unprofessional Conduct)
7	24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960 of the
8	Code in that he committed general unprofessional conduct in the practice of psychology. The
9	facts and allegations set forth in paragraphs 12 through 23 are incorporated here.
10	
11	<u>PRAYER</u>
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
13	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision:
14	1. Revoking or suspending Psychologist License No. PSY 18215, issued to
15	Allan Lewis Zuckerman, Ph.D.;
16	2. Ordering Allan Lewis Zuckerman, Ph.D. to pay the Board of Psychology
17	the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on
18	probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
19	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
20	DATED: November 21, 2003
21	
22	Thomas ( Ory
23	THOMAS S. O'CONNOR Executive Officer
24	Board of Psychology  Department of Consumer Affairs
25	State of California  Complainant
26	Complaniant

03598160-LA2003AD1321 Zuck-Accusation.wpd

28

27